

Ithaca, N. Y., Oct. 10 1890

My Dear Mr. Watson:

My MS. describing the dewberry has already gone to the printer. In a closer study of my material I find that the *Lucretia* is distinguished from *Rubus Canadensis* easily by the square bases of the leaflets (flts. triangular-ovate) and by the finely and doubly serrated leaflets. I doubt whether it is specifically distinct from *R. Canadensis*, although it looks very different. At any rate, I have called it - only a var. of that species. I find that the *Lucretia* is different from all other dewberries in cultivation. Some of them are *R. Canadensis*. Not having the characters in my mind at the time, I cannot say if your specimen is really the same as the *Lucretia*:

I enclose a note for your new issue, and I shall probably want to insert another on Synonymy. I have a number of corrections of ranges, but perhaps it is not worth while to insert them.

As you are in doubt concerning the proper course concerning additions, you will pardon me for making a suggestion. I should like to see an

addendum issued occasionally and put upon the market at a sufficient price to pay its cost. This would keep the Manual always up to date, or nearly so, and would improve its usefulness. If an addendum is simply bound in with the next issue and not sold separately, it will necessitate everyone buying a new Manual if they wish to be up to the times. And I should think that all changes in nomenclature which you accept should be promulgated in the addendum rather than in the text of the various issues. <sup>Two other</sup> carriers should now go in, and if you decide to make additions, I will write them up.

Yours truly  
L. H. Bailey